United States District Court

for the

District of Massachusetts

United States of America)	
v.)	
HARRY TAM) Case No.	21-10350-LTS

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ₫ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ₫ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
\Box (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\Box (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
\Box (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
\Box (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
\Box (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
☐ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

ℴ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☑ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

Case 1:21-cr-10350-LTS Document 46 Filed 12/21/21 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/10) Order of Detention Fending That
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The defendant is charged in a multi-defendant indictment with conspiracy to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of methamphetamine in violation of 21 U.S.C. sec. 846 and related drug distribution and possession, as well as firearm possession charges. The charges are the result of an extensive investigation involving, among other things, intercepted packages, surveillance and wiretaps on two of the defendant Tam's phones. The investigation established a substantial drug importation and distribution network which the government believes is headed by the defendant Tam. While a number of the defendants, including Tam, appear to have substantance abuse problems, the amount and variety of drugs involved are inconsistent with Tam's contention that the drugs were for personal use. As a result of the extensive investigation, at this time the evidence against Tam appears strong.
Tam is age 41, and he grew up in California where he still has family. Some of the intercepted shipments of methamphetamine came from California. Tam has been living in Massachusetts since March 2017 with his girlfriend and three children. While Tam and his girlfriend appear to be in in a committed relationship, there have been problems resulting in periods of separation and counseling. His girlfriend has taken out three civil abuse prevention orders against him in 2019, 2020 and 2021, with the last order expiring on June 14, 2021. A search warrant executed at this residence produced 4-11 firearms. Tam proposes to be released to this home. Tam has an extensive California criminal record that dates back to 1998 and includes numerous assault, drug and firearms-related offenses. He has a history of prior incarcerations (3 years imposed in 2001, 4 years imposed in 2007, 2 years imposed in 2011, 2 years imposed in 2013 and 7 years imposed in 2015 that was reduced to approximately 1 year). He attended inpatient drug treatment in California from February 2016 to August 2016. He participated in inpatient drug treatment in Massachusetts from May 8, 2021 to June 7, 2021. He has apparently relapsed and proposes to be released to inpatient drug treatment. Tam has recently invested in a restaurant and is a co-owner of a Mobil Gas station which opened last week. He has over 10 years of prior work history as a manager at Midas and AAMCO. Some of the seized drugs were mailed to Midas where Tam worked. Tam argues that he is not a flight risk, and that he has stayed in Massachusetts despite knowing about the criminal investigation. The government disputes that he knew the details or scope of the investigation. In any event, this court finds that Tam is a danger to the community. Despite extensive periods of incarceration he has continued to engage in dangerous, criminal behavior. The risk to the community if Tam is released is too great.

O

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	12/21/2021	/s/ Judith Gail Dein
		Linited States Magistrate Judge